# Performance of geriatric assessments in India adaptation to our scenario

Dr Sharada Mailankody, Associate Professor, Dept of Medical Oncology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal.

# DISCLAIMER

• The term 'older adult with cancer' or 'older adult oncology' preferred over terms like geriatric/elderly

• No relevant disclosures/conflicts of interest

# Outline of the talk

- What is geriatric assessment?
- Need for geriatric assessment
- Components of GA and the Indian scenario
- Status of geriatric assessment in India
- Barriers
- Future perspectives



NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®)

### **Older Adult Oncology**

Version 2.2022 - July 12, 2022

# ESMO –SIOG module

J Oncol Pract. 2018 Feb; 14(2): 85-94.

doi: 10.1200/JOP.2017.026435

Journal of Clinical Oncology > List of Issues > Volume 36, Issue 22 >

### ASCO SPECIAL ARTICLE

Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology

### Check for updates

Supriya G. Mohile, William Dale, Mark R. Somerfield, Mara A. Schonberg, Cynthia M. Boyd, Peggy S. Burhenn, ...

Show More

Abstract Full Text PDF Figures and Tables Supplements

PMCID: PMC5812308 PMID: 29436306

### What Every Oncologist Should Know About Geriatric Assessment for Older Patients With Cancer: Young International Society of Geriatric Oncology Position Paper

Kah Poh Loh,<sup>∞</sup> Enrique Soto-Perez-de-Celis, Tina Hsu, Nienke A. de Glas, Nicolò Matteo Luca Battisti, Capucine Baldini, Manuel Rodrigues, Stuart M. Lichtman, and Hans Wildiers



# Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) Home \* Resources \* Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA)

https://siog.org/resources/resources-siog/comprehensive-geriatric-assessment/

### Journal of Clinical Oncology®

### THE LANCET Oncology

Enter words / phrases / DOI / ISBN / authors / keywords / etc.

Newest Content	Issues Special Conter	t Authors	Subscribers	About	ASCO Publications	Career Center	cov
Vol. 39, No. 19, Ju	uly 1, 2021				Journ Clinic Once	nal of cal ology*	
Caring for Older	Adults With Cancer ogy Comes of Age: Adv	incing the Scie	nce of Caring fo	r Older			111
Dale et al. pp. 2015–2057 Citation   Full Text	PDF (103 KB)				.4500		
REVIEW ARTICLES					ACCO RSS DWIvet In F	Feed ISS2)	
Caring for Older	Adults With Cancer						
Geriatric Assess	ment and Managemen	t in Cancer			Most Read	Most Cited	

#### Series from the Lancet journals

#### 1\_\_\_\_\_

View all Series

#### Geriatric oncology

Published: June 1, 2018

#### **Executive Summary**

With advances in medicine, early cancer diagnosis, and increases in the standard of living, the population of patients with cancer aged 65 years or more is expected to rapidly increase in years to come. With it will come an urgent need in how to manage this growing population in a way that meets their specific needs. In this June issue of *The Lancet Oncology*, a new Series on geriatric oncology examines the issues surrounding the classification, management, and biology of elderly patients with cancer. Arya Biragyn and others discuss gut dysbiosis and inflammaging as a possible cause of cancer during ageing; Arti Hurria and colleagues discuss the use of the geriatric assessment to decide whether age should be classified chronologically or functionally; and a position statement from the International Society of Geriatric Oncology discusses the management of elderly patients with renal cell carcinoma. Collectively, we hope these papers will show how current issues in geriatric oncology can develop ever more precise and personalised therapeutic approaches to all cancers, especially for those in old age.



#### Related content

#### ARTICLES

Pertuzumab and trastuzumab with or without metronomic chemotherapy for older patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (EORTC 75111-

-						Search -	
canci official pr	er Research	, Statistics an Research and Statistics	id Treatment			Users Onlin	⊶ ≊⊜24:∗
ń	About -	Articles .	Authors -	Search U	Subscribe	Contact Us	Reader L
Currer April-Ju	nt Issue ne 2022  Vol 5  Iss of Contents 🛄 R	ue 2 53				C	RST
Musings The cance We, the tri of patients	s er-patient-suffering c ainees at the Tata Men with cancer treated ea	ontinuum! norial Hospital (TMH), Mu est of the Atlantic, always	nbai, known for its sheer Nei pride in providing ca	volume re to our		<u>/</u>	-

# Big question in geriatric oncology

• TO TREAT OR NOT TO TREAT!

FIT

VS.

### **FRAIL**

**Functional age** – determination and maintenance



# Complex decision making

65-year-old patient with aggressive lymphoma

- Heterogeneous group of patients
- Patient factors: comorbidities, functional issues, syndromes and social support
   67-year-old patient with
- Cancer factors: benefit of treatment
- Increased risk of adverse effects of treatment (esp financial and QOL)
- Not included in trials

75-year-old patient HR positive pT1cN0 Ca breast

80-year-old patient with positive pT1cN0 Ca breast

82-year-old patient requiring adjuvant immunotherapy for melanoma 72-year-old patient with stage 3 Ca colon

83-year-old patient with TNBC pT2N3 disease

67-year-old patient with metastatic Ca stomach



Sedrak MS, et al. Measuring Biologic Resilience in Older Cancer Survivors. J Clin Oncol. 2021;1;39(19):2079-2089.

# What is geriatric assessment

- Multidimensional interdisciplinary diagnostic process
- With oncologist, a geriatrician, a pharmacist, social worker
- Determining a frail older person's medical, psychological and functional capability
- To develop a coordinated and integrated plan for treatment and longterm follow-up
- Identifies problems that are not identified by routine patient history and physical examination
- Tailored interventions
- Discuss the patient's preferences and treatment goals

Mohile SG, et al. Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(22):2326-2347.

# How does a GA help?

- Identifying impairments
- Clarifying patient priorities
- Predicting survival and toxicity (chemo and postoperative) risk
- Establishing a pretreatment baseline
- Developing intervention
- Change in treatment plan in 15-40% patients

Mohile SG, et al. Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(22):2326-2347.

Mohile SG, et al. Evaluation of geriatric assessment and management on the toxic effects of cancer treatment (GAP70+): a clusterrandomised study. Lancet. 2021 Nov 20;398(10314):1894-1904.

# Impact of geriatric assessment

- Initial treatment plan was modified in a median of 39% of patients after geriatric evaluation, of which two thirds resulted in less intensive treatment
- GA based interventions in >70% of patients, even in studies that included all-comers

Hamaker ME. et al. The effect of a geriatric evaluation on treatment decisions for older cancer patients – a systematic review, Acta Oncologica, 2014; 53:3, 289-296,

# Is our clinical judgment not enough?

- Poor correlation between the clinical judgment of frailty and objective assessment scales
- Vulnerabilities in at least one geriatric domain in 98% of the Indian geriatric oncology patients
- Polypharmacy more than 50%
- Only 4 patients (2%) scored normally in all the tested geriatric domains

van Walree IC, et al. Clinical judgment versus geriatric assessment for frailty in older patients with cancer. J Geriatr Oncol. 2020 Sep;11(7):1138-1144. Noronha V, et al. Initial experience of a geriatric oncology clinic in a tertiary cancer center in India. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2020;3:208-17

# ECOG PS and CGA correlation

- With each 1 unit increase in the ECOG PS, the odds of having ≥2 geriatric abnormalities increased by 4.69
- Older patients with cancer with an ECOG PS ≥1 are very likely to harbor non-oncological vulnerabilities
- May correlate with abnormalities in function and falls, psychological assessment, and cognition
- Poor correlation with nutritional status and comorbidities
- Poor correlation with chemotherapy toxicities

Gattani S, et al. ECOG performance status as a representative of deficits in older Indian patients with cancer: A cross-sectional analysis from a large cohort study. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2022;5:256-62 Ostwal V, *et al.*Cancer Aging Research Group (CARG) score in older adults undergoing curative intent

# What is included in 'geriatric assessment'?

• Screening

• Comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA)

# Screening tools

- G8 more sensitive
- VES13 more specific
- fTRST

Soubeyran P, et al. Screening for vulnerability in older cancer patients: The Oncodage prospective multicenter cohort study. PLoS One 2014;9:e115060.

Joshi A, et al. Agreement analysis between three different short geriatric screening scales in patients undergoing chemotherapy for solid tumors. J Can Res Ther 2017;13:1023-6

G-8

- 8 items
- Seven items issued from MNA questionnaire
- One item relative to age of patient (<80; 80-85; >85)
- Less than 10 minutes (median: 4 min.)

Soubeyran P et al., Screening for vulnerability in elderly cancer patients: Validation of the G8 screening test. Plos One 2014; 9(12): e115060.

### G8 <14

í.	Items	Possible answers (score)
	Has food intake declined over the past 3	0 : severe decrease in food intake
A	months due to loss of appetite, digestive problems, chewing or swallowing	1 : moderate decrease in food intake
	difficulties?	2 : no decrease in food intake
2	0	0 : weight loss > 3 kg
D	Weight loss during the last 3 months	1 : does not know
D	weight loss during the last 5 months	2 : weight loss between 1 and 3 kgs
		3 : no weight loss
1		0 : bed or chair bound
С	Mobility	1 : able to get out of bed/chair but does not go out
		2 : goes out
		0 : severe dementia or depression
E Neuropsychological pro	Neuropsychological problems	1 : mild dementia or depression
		2 : no psychological problems
1		0 : BMI < 19
-	Body Mass Index (BMI (weight in kg) /	1 : BMI = 19 to BMI < 21
	(height in m <sup>2</sup> )	2 : BMI = 21 to BMI < 23
		3 : BMI = 23 and > 23
ы	Takes more than 2 medications per day	0 : yes
	Takes more than 5 medications per day	1 : no
	In comparison with other people of the	0 : not as good
D	same age, how does the patient consider	0.5 : does not know
	his/her health status?	1 : as good
	mayner nearri status:	2 : better
	Age	0:>85
	internation of	1:80-85
		2:<80
	TOTAL SCORE	0 - 17

### A score of less than 14 is abnormal and correlates with OS



# fTRST – Flemish version of Triage Risk Screening Tool

• A score greater than 1 is considered as being a risk for a geriatric profile

 Item
 Yes No

 1. Presence of cognitive impairment (disorientation, diagnosis of dementia, or delirium)
 2
 0

 2. Lives alone or no caregiver available, willing, or able
 1
 0

 3. Difficulty with walking or transfers or fall(s) in the past 6 months
 1
 0

 4. Hospitalized in the last 3 months
 1
 0

 5. Polypharmacy: ≥ 5 medications
 1
 0

Kenis C, et al. Performance of two geriatric screening tools in older patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(1):19-26.

### VES13 >3

- Self-administrated questionnaire
- ≥65 years
- Increased risk of death or functional decline
- 13 items concerning
- Perception of health status, example of everyday activity, difficulty performing activities related to his health or physical condition
- less than 10 min (median: 4')

In g age	reneral, compared to other people your t, would you say that your health is:	Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent						
How	w much difficult, on average, do you have h the following physical activities?	NO DIFFICULTY	A LITTLE DIFFICULTY	SOME DIFFICULTY	A LOT OF DIFFICULTY	UNABLE TO DO		
1.	Stooping, crouching or kneeling?							
2.	Lifting or carrying objects as heavy as 10 pounds?							
3.	Reaching or extending arms above shoulder level?							
4.	Writing or handling and grasping small objects?							
5.	Walking a quarter of a mile?							
6.	Heavy household such as scrubbing floors or washing windows?							
Bec	ause of your health or physical condition, do have any difficulty:	YES	NO	DON'T DO				
7.	Shopping for personal items?							
8.	Managing money (like keeping track of expenses or paying bills)?							
9.	Walking across the room? USE OF CANE OR WALKER IS OKAY?							
10.	Do you get help with walking?							
11.	Doing light housework (like washing dishes, straightening up, or light cleaning?							
12.	Bathing or showering?							
13.	Is your health the reason for not bathing or showering?							

### 10 pounds is around 4.5kg

Quarter a mile is around 0.5km

# Screening in India

- Abnormal G8 cut-off score < 12 seems more appropriate in older Indian patients with cancer
- G8 < 12 predicts for the presence of non-oncological vulnerabilities and shorter survival
- Lowering the cutoff of G8 to 12 translated to a 35% reduction in the number of patients undergoing a complete geriatric assessment
- Combined with VES-13, the G8 can be reliably used to identify those patients who would benefit the most from a geriatric assessment and help in optimal resource utilization especially in busy Indian centers.

Shah M, et al. G8 and VES-13 as screening tools for geriatric assessment and predictors of survival in older Indian patients with cancer. J Geriatr Oncol. 2022;13(5):720-730.

## CGA - Geriatric domains assessed

- Functional status (FS)
- Fatigue
- Comorbidity
- Cognition
- Mental health
- Social support
- Nutrition
- Geriatric syndromes (dementia, delirium, falls, incontinence, osteoporosis or spontaneous fractures, neglect or abuse, failure to thrive, constipation, polypharmacy, pressure ulcers, and sarcopenia)

Mohile SG, et al. Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(22):2326-2347.

### CGA

- Chemotherapy toxicity
- Calculate life expectancy –ePrognosis.com
- GA based interventions

## CGA- how to assess

- Function
- IADL (8)(0-5/8)/ADL (6)

 Physical performance by TUG/gait speed/Short Physical Performance Battery

- Falls h/o falls in 6 months
- Comorbidities CIRS-G/Charlson comorbidity scale
- Cognition Mini-Cog or BOMC
- Depression GDS
- Nutritional
- Weight loss/BMI<21

### Table 1. Comparison of Katz ADLs and Lawton IADLs

Activities of Daily Living (ADLs)	Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADLs)
Feeding	Using the telephone
Confinence	Shopping
Transferring	Preparing food
Toileting	Housekeeping
Dressing	Doing laundry
Bathing	Using transportation
	Handling medications
	Handling finances

# Timed Up and Go

>12 seconds

Purpose: To assess mobility

### Equipment: A stopwatch

**Directions:** Patients wear their regular footwear and can use a walking aid, if needed. Begin by having the patient sit back in a standard arm chair and identify a line 3 meters, or 10 feet away, on the floor.

### 1 Instruct the patient:

When I say "Go," I want you to:

Stand up from the chair.
 Walk to the line on the floor at your normal pace.
 Turn.
 Walk back to the chair at your normal pace.
 Sit down again.

② On the word "Go," begin timing.

- ③ Stop timing after patient sits back down.
- ④ Record time.

### Time in Seconds:

An older adult who takes ≥12 seconds to complete the TUG is at risk for falling.

NOTE: Always stay by the patient for safety.

### OBSERVATIONS

Observe the patient's postural stability, gait, stride length, and sway.

### Check all that apply:

- □ Slow tentative pace
- Loss of balance
- □ Short strides
- Little or no arm swing
- □ Steadying self on walls
- □ Shuffling
- En bloc turning
- Not using assistive device properly

These changes may signify neurological problems that require further evaluation.

# TUG and correlation

Higher TUG scores associated with

- Presence of comorbidities
- Impaired cognition
- Poor nutritional status
- Depression and anxiety
- Lower median OS of patients with TUG >12 s

Rao AR, et al. Timed Up and Go as a predictor of mortality in older Indian patients with cancer: An observational study. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2022;5:75-82

	Score	
Comorbidities	1	
CCI		
<ul> <li>Identify comorbidities</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Treat them</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Modify cancer therapy</li> </ul>	2	
accordingly		
<ul> <li>To estimate life expectancy</li> </ul>		
	3	
	0	

Score	Comorbid condition
1	Myocardial infarction (MI)
	Congestive heart failure (CHF)
	Cerebral vascular disease
	Peripheral vascular disease
	Dementia
	Chronic obstructive pulmonary
	disease (COPD)
	Connective tissue disease
	Peptic ulcer disease (PUD)
	Mild liver disease
	Agea
2	Diabetes
	Hemiplegia
	Moderate/severe renal disease
	Diabetes with end-organ damage
	Any solid tumor
	Leukemia
	Lymphoma
3	Moderate/severe liver disease
6	Metastatic solid tumor
(A)	Acquired immunodeficiency
	syndrome (AIDS)

<sup>a</sup> For each decade after 40 years, a point is added (1 point for age group 41–50, 2 points for age group 51–60, 3 points for 61–70, 4 points for 71 or older).

# Comorbidities

### • CIRS –G

Miller, Paradis, and Reyno	lds 1991
PATIENT	AGE
RATER	DATE
Instructions: Please refer to the CIRS-G Manual. Write brief d justified the endorsed score on the line following each item. (U space).	escriptions of the medical problem(s se the reverse side for more writing
RATING STRATEGY	
<ol> <li>No Problem</li> <li>Current mild problem or past significant problem</li> <li>Moderate disability or morbidity/requires "first line" therapy</li> <li>Severe/constant significant disability/"uncontrollable" chront</li> <li>Extremely Severe/immediate treatment required/end organ f impairment in function</li> </ol>	ic problems ailure/severe
	SCORE
HEART	
VASCULAR	
HEMATOPOIETIC	
RESPIRATORY	
EYES, EARS, NOSE AND THROAT AND LARYNX	
UPPER GI	
LOWER GL	
LIVER.	·····
RENAL	
GENITOURINARY	
MUSCULOSKELETAL/INTEGUMENT	
NEUROLOGICAL	
ENDOCRINE/METABOLIC AND BREAST	
PSYCHIATRIC ILLNESS	
TOTAL NUMBER CATEGORIES ENDORSED	
TOTAL SCORE	
Severity Index: (total score/total number of categories endo	orsed)
Number of categories at level 3 severity	
Number of categories at level 4 severity	

Cognition - Mini-Cog

Inside the circle, please draw the hours of a clock as they normally appear. Place the hands of the clock to represent the time: "ten minutes after eleven o'clock."

### The Mini-Cog Test

The test is administered as follows:

1. Instruct the patient to listen carefully and repeat the following:

APPLE	WATCH	PENNY	(English)
MANZANA	RELOJ	PESETA	(Spanish)

2. Administer the Clock Drawing Test

3. Ask the patient to repeat the 3 previously stated words:

Scoring Process:

Number of correct items recalled \_\_\_\_\_ [if 3 then negative screen. STOP]



# Blessed Orientation-Memory-Concentration score >10

Items	Maxin	um Error	Score		Weight	
1. What year is it now?	1			x4=		
2. What month is it now?	1			x3=		The scores from each of the six items are multiplied to yield a weighted score.
Memory phrase (repeat after me):"JohnBrown, 42 Market Street, Chicago"						<ul> <li>Score "1" for each incorrect response.</li> <li>Scoring items 4. and 5.: For uncorrected errors, score "2"; for self-corrected errors, score "1" For no errors, score "0".</li> </ul>
3. About what time is it (within 1 hour)?	1			x4=		•Scoring the memory phrase:
4. Count backwards 20 to 1.	2			x2=		If the patient cannot spontaneously recall the name and address, score "0". If the patient cannot spontaneously recall the name and address, cue with "John Brown" one ti
<ol> <li>Say the months in reverse order (start with December).</li> </ol>	2			x2=		•Score 1 point for each subsequent "unit" the patient cannot recall.
6. Repeat the memory phrase: (1) John (1) Brown (1) 42 (1) Market (1) Chicago	5			x2=		
				TOTAL		

### Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)

Patient's Name:

Date:

<u>Instructions:</u> Ask the questions in the order listed. Score one point for each correct response within each question or activity.

Maximum Score	Patient's Score	Questions
5		"What is the year? Season? Date? Day of the week? Month?"
5		"Where are we now: State? County? Town/city? Hospital? Floor?"
3		The examiner names three unrelated objects clearly and slowly, then asks the patient to name all three of them. The patient's response is used for scoring. The examiner repeats them until patient learns all of them, if possible. Number of trials:
5		"I would like you to count backward from 100 by sevens." (93, 86, 79, 72, 65,) Stop after five answers. Alternative: "Spell WORLD backwards." (D-L-R-O-W)
3		"Earlier I told you the names of three things. Can you tell me what those were?"
2		Show the patient two simple objects, such as a wristwatch and a pencil, and ask the patient to name them.
1		"Repeat the phrase: 'No ifs, ands, or buts."
3		"Take the paper in your right hand, fold it in half, and put it on the floor." (The examiner gives the patient a piece of blank paper.)
1		"Please read this and do what it says." (Written instruction is "Close your eyes.")
1	8	"Make up and write a sentence about anything." (This sentence must contain a noun and a verb.)
1		"Please copy this picture." (The examiner gives the patient a blank piece of paper and asks him/her to draw the symbol below. All 10 angles must be present and two must intersect.)
30		TOTAL

(Adapted from Rovner & Folstein, 1987)

## GDS

- Score >5
- 5-10 minutes
- Self administered

### Geriatric Depression Scale (short form)

Instructions:	Cir	Circle the answer that best describes how you felt over the <u>past week</u> .							
	1.	Are you basically satisfied with your life?	yes	no					
	2,	Have you dropped many of your activities and							
		interests?	yes	no					
	3.	Do you feel that your life is empty?	yes	no					
	4.	Do you often get bored?	yes	no					
	5.	Are you in good spirits most of the time?	yes	no					
	6.	Are you afraid that something bad is going to							
		happen to you?	yes	no					
	7.	Do you feel happy most of the time?	yes	no					
	8.	Do you often feel helpless?	yes	no					
	9.	Do you prefer to stay at home, rather than going							
		out and doing things?	yes	no					
	10.	Do you feel that you have more problems with							
		memory than most?	yes	no					
	11.	Do you think it is wonderful to be alive now?	yes	no					
	12.	Do you feel worthless the way you are now?	yes	no					
	13.	Do you feel full of energy?	yes	no					
	14.	Do you feel that your situation is hopeless?	yes	no					
	15.	Do you think that most people are better off							
		than you are?	yes	no					

Total Score

# Mini Nutritional Assessment

Complete the screen by filling in the boxes with the appropriate numbers.	
Add the numbers for the screen. If score is 11 or less, continue with the assessment to gain a Malnutrition Indicator Score.	

Screening	J How many full meals does the patient eat daily?	
A Has food intake declined over the past 3 months due to loss of appetite, digestive problems, chewing or swallowing	1 = 2 meals 2 = 3 meals	
difficulties? 0 = severe decrease in food intake 1 = moderate decrease in food intake 2 = no decrease in food intake B Weight loss during the last 3 months 0 = weight loss greater than 3kg (6.6lbs) 1 = does not know 2 = weight loss between 1 and 3kg (2.2 and 6.6 lbs) 3 = no weight loss	K Selected consumption markers for protein intake     At least one serving of dairy products     (milk, cheese, yoghurt) per day yes     Two or more servings of legumes     or eggs per week     Meat, fish or poultry every day     0.0 = if 0 or 1 yes     0.5 = if 2 yes     1.0 = if 3 yes	
C Mobility 0 = bed or chair bound 1 = able to get out of bed / chair but does not go out	L Consumes two or more servings of fruit or vegeta per day? 0 = no 1 = yes	
2 = goes out	M How much fluid (water, juice, coffee, tea, milk) i consumed per day? 0.0 = less than 3 cups 0.5 = 3 to 5 cups 1.0 = more than 5 cups	
E Neuropsychological problems 0 = severe dementia or depression 1 = mild dementia 2 = no psychological problems	N Mode of feeding 0 = unable to eat without assistance 1 = self-fed with some difficulty 2 = self-fed without any problem	
F Body Mass Index (BMI) = weight in kg / (height in m) <sup>2</sup> 0 = BMI less than 19 1 = BMI 19 to less than 21 2 = BMI 21 to less than 23 3 = BMI 21 to less than 23	O Self view of nutritional status 0 = views self as being malnourished 1 = is uncertain of nutritional state 2 = views self as having no nutritional problem	
Screening score (subtotal max. 14 points)     Image: Constraint of the status       12-14 points:     Normal nutritional status       8-11 points:     At risk of mainutrition       0-7 points:     Malnourished	P In comparison with other people of the same age the patient consider his / her health status? 0.0 = not as good 0.5 = does not know 1.0 = as good 2.0 = better	
Assessment	Q Mid-arm circumference (MAC) in cm 0.0 = MAC less than 21 0.5 = MAC 21 to 22	
G Lives independently (not in nursing home or hospital) 1 = yes 0 = no	R Calf circumference (CC) in cm 0 = CC less than 31	
0 = yes 1 = no	1 = CC 31 or greater Assessment (max. 16 points)	
I Pressure sores or skin ulcers 0 ≈ yes 1 = no	Screening score Total Assessment (max. 30 points)	
<ol> <li>References</li> <li>Violas B, Villars H, Abellan G, et al. Overview of the MNAIB - its History and Challenges. J Nutr Health Aging. 2006; 10:458-465.</li> <li>Rubenatein LZ, Harker JD, Salva A, Guigoz Y, Vellas B. Screening for Undernutrition in Geniatic Practice: Developing the Short-Form Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA-SF). J. Genort. 2001; 554: M365-377</li> <li>Guigoz Y. The Mini-Nutritional Assessment (MNA<sup>4</sup>) Review of the Literature - What does it let us? J Nutr Health Aging. 2006; 10:465-487.</li> </ol>	Mainutrition Indicator Score         24 to 30 points       Normal nutrition         17 to 23.5 points       At risk of malnut         Less than 17 points       Mainourished	

https://www.mna-elderly.com/

	1.0 = II a yes	
-	Consumes two or more servings o per day?	f fruit or vegetables
1	0 = no 1 = yes	
4	How much fluid (water, juice, coffe consumed per day?	e, tea, milk) is
13	0.0 = less than 3 cups	
3	0.5 = 3 to 5 cups	
-	r.o = more than 5 cops	
1 1	Node of feeding	
- 4	0 = unable to eat without assistance	
12	1 = self-fed with some difficulty	_
0	z - served without any problem	
) 5	Self view of nutritional status	
1	0 = views self as being malnourished	1
8	1 = is uncertain of nutritional state 2 = views self as broken no publices	I neohiam
8	<ul> <li>news sen as naving no numbona</li> </ul>	
	In comparison with other people o the patient consider his / her healt 0.0 = not as good	f the same age, how does h status?
34	0.5 = does not know	
	1.0 = as good	
-	2.0 = 00001	
2 1	Mid-arm circumference (MAC) in cr	<b>m</b>
- 23	0.0 = MAC less than 21	
	1.0 = MAC greater than 22	
-		
\$ (	Calf circumference (CC) in cm	
1	0 = CC less than 31	
- 10	r = 00 at or greater	
Ass	sessment (max. 16 points)	00.0
icr	mening score	000
	sering score	000
ot	al Assessment (max. 30 points)	
i ale	nutrition Indicator Score	
		Manage of an ability of a last sec
4 8		A sisk of material status
r ti	D 23.5 points	At risk of mainutrition
ess	s than 17 points	Malhourished

yes 🔲 no 🛄

yes 🔲 no 🛄

yes 🔲 no 🔲

# Chemotherapy toxicity

- CARG Cancer and Aging Research Group
- Risk of grade 3-5 toxicity
- Chemotherapy Risk Assessment Scale for High Age paients CRASH
- gr 3 hematological and gr 3-4 non hematological toxicity
### **CARG** Cancer and Aging Research Group

HOME	ABOUT 🗸	MEMBERSHIP 🗸	CARING CORES 🗸	RESOURCES 🗸	CARG TOOLS 🗸	RESEARCH 🗸	NEWS 🗸	EVENTS 🗸

### **Chemo-Toxicity Calculator**

Select the language	
English	
Sex	
Select	
Patient's Age	
Patient's Height	
Select	✓ Select
Patient's Weight	
Select	✓ Select

### CARG score



# CARG score in Indian patients

- N= 270
- Mean age of 69 (65–83) yrs
- 52% of patients atleast one grade 3–5 toxicity
- The risk of toxicity was increased with an increasing risk score
- 42% for low risk, 51% for medium risk and 79% for high risk

Ostwal V, *et al.*Cancer Aging Research Group (CARG) score in older adults undergoing curative intent chemotherapy: a prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2021;**11:**e047376.

### CRASH score

	Points			
Predictors	0	1	2	
Haematologic score				
Diastolic BP	≤72	>72		
IADL	26-29	10-25		
LDH (if ULN 618 U/L; otherwise, 0.74/L*ULN)	0-459		>459	
Chemotox	0-0.44	0.45-0.57	>0.57	
Nonhaematologic score				
ECOG PS	0	1–2	3–4	
MMS	30		<30	
MNA	28-30		<28	
Chemotox	0-0.44	0.45-0.57	>0.57	

### **GERIATRIC ONCOLOGY**

#### Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment – Estimating life expectancy

Lee index predicts mortality in 4 and 10 years

It integrates age, comorbidity and cognition and functionality



Parameter	Result	Points
1. Age (years)	60-64	1
	65-69	2
	70-74	3
	75-79	4
	80-84	5
	≥85	7
2. Sex (Male/Female)	Male	2
3. BMI [703 × (weight in pounds/height in inches <sup>2</sup> )]	BMI <25	1
<ol> <li>Has a doctor ever told you that you have diabetes or high blood sugar? (Y/N)</li> </ol>	Diabetes	1
<ol> <li>Has a doctor told you that you have cancer or a malignant tumour, excluding minor skin cancers? (Y/N)</li> </ol>	Cancer	2
<ol><li>Do you have a chronic lung disease that limits your usual activities or makes you need oxygen at home? (Y/N)</li></ol>	Lung disease	2
<ol> <li>Has a doctor told you that you have congestive heart failure? (Y/N)</li> </ol>	Heart failure	2
8. Have you smoked cigarettes in the past week? (Y/N)	Smoke	2
<ol> <li>Because of a health or memory problem do you have any difficulty with bathing or showering? (Y/N)</li> </ol>	Bathing	2
<ol> <li>Because of a health or memory problem, do you have any difficulty with managing your money—such as paying your bills and keeping track of expenses? (Y/N)</li> </ol>	Finances	2
<ol> <li>Because of a health problem do you have any difficulty with walking several blocks? (Y/N)</li> </ol>	Walking	2
12.Because of a health problem do you have any difficulty with pulling or pushing large objects like a living room chair? (Y/N)	Push or pull	1

# Polypharmacy

- Five or more medications
- Excessive polypharmacy ten or more medications
- PIMs preferably avoided in older persons or substituted by safer alternatives
- Beers criteria
- STOPP Screening Tool of Older Persons' Prescriptions
- START -Screening Tool to Alert to Right Treatment

Noronha V, et al. Polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medication use in older Indian patients with cancer: A prospective observational study. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2021;4:67-73

# Polypharmacy issues

- Adverse drug reactions
- Duplication of therapy
- Adverse drug-drug interactions
- Traditional medications may add toxicity jaundice
- Adverse drug-disease interactions
- Adherence to treatment
- Cost

# Polypharmacy

- Polypharmacy was present in 55% and excessive polypharmacy in 13%
- Higher in patients with lung cancer
- Vitamins and calcium 20%
- Ayurvedic/homeopathic 23%
- Atleast one PIM in 80%
- 53% PIM among perichemotherapy medications commonly intravenous antihistamines, histamine H2 blockers, and steroids

Noronha V, et al. Polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medication use in older Indian patients with cancer: A prospective observational study. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2021;4:67-73

# GA based interventions

Domain	Intervention
Function and falls -	
IADL	Physical/occupational therapy
Falls	Fall prevention discussion/educate caregivers
Comorbidity	Understand chemotherapy risk Involve primary care physician for polypharmacy
Cognition	Decision making capacity Delirium risk Medication review
Depression	Psychotherapy/psychiatry review
Nutrition	Dietician review Caregiver education
Vision or hearing abnormalities	Address the abnormalities

# What is the minimum?

- Predict chemotherapy toxicity:CARG/CRASH
- Estimate non-cancer life expectancy: ePrognosis
- Functional assessment IADL
- Comorbidity assessment
- Screening for falls
- Screening for depression –geriatric depression scale
- Screening for cognitive impairment –Mini-Cog/BOMC
- Malnutrition screening weight loss/BMI

Mohile SG, et al. Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(22):2326-2347.

#### **GERIATRIC ONCOLOGY IN PRACTICE**





### How long does a GA take?

- 22 to 27 minutes
- -15 to 23 minutes being completed by the patient and caregiver
- 5 to 6 minutes by the health care provider
- No differences between assessments performed by a geriatrician or a trained health care worker in the proportion of patients for whom oncologic treatment decisions are altered
- Only screening for many conditions not a detailed assessment

Mohile SG, et al. Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(22):2326-2347.

### Geriatric assessment in India

- 100 oncologists surveyed
- 87% oncologists cared for  $\geq$  10 older patients/week
- 99% provided care to geriatric patients
- 44% were not aware of any formal guidelines for doing a GA in a patient with cancer
- 70% reported assessing older patients using intuition/informally
- Only 9% reported that they always performed a GA

Noronha V, et al. Survey for geriatric assessment in practicing oncologists in India. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2019;2:232-6

# Indian perspective

- Only 2% of the patients evaluated in TMH geriatric oncology clinic had a normal CGA
- The commonly deranged CGA domains
- comorbidities (79%)
- fatigue (77%)
- nutrition (65%)
- function and falls (52%)
- psychological status (32%)
- cognition (18%)
- Polypharmacy >50%

Noronha V, et al. Initial experience of a geriatric oncology clinic in a tertiary cancer center. in India. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2020;3:208-17

### Indian experience

- In 70% of the patients, there was an estimated risk of at least 51% for developing Grade 3 or higher toxicity from full-dose combination chemotherapy
- Before GA, vulnerabilities not addressed except for nutrition
- Only 20% of the patients who had a deficit in function and falls had been recommended the use of a walking assist device
- Most unvaccinated

Noronha V, et al. Initial experience of a geriatric oncology clinic in a tertiary cancer center. in India. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2020;3:208-17

### Time taken

- Median of 50 min to complete the physician-administered portion of the geriatric evaluation, chemotherapy risk assessment, and evaluation of life expectancy
- Excluding the time required for completion of the self-administered questionnaires and the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) (trained volunteer)

Noronha V, et al. Initial experience of a geriatric oncology clinic in a tertiary cancer center in India. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2020;3:208-17

#### • TIME!!!!!!



# Time is money!

	Cost (\$)
Nurse's salary for 1 hour*	28
Complete blood count	17
Carcinoembryonic antigen	50
Chest x-ray	67
Bilateral screening mammography	321
Abdominal or chest CT scan	640
MRI pelvis	739
Liver biopsy	879
Whole-body PET-CT	1,788
Colonoscopy with biopsy	2,187
Breast cancer genomic testing (Oncotype†)‡	3,416
Liquid biopsy (Guardant360§)	5,800
Whole-body PET-CT Colonoscopy with biopsy Breast cancer genomic testing (Oncotype†)‡ Liquid biopsy (Guardant360§)   NOTE. Data adapted from Healthcare Bluebook, <sup>46</sup> wh latabase of medical payment data to create transparence procedures. Within the range of pricings, Healthcare	1,78 2,18 3,41 5,80 ich uses a nationv y in pricing for med Bluebook "reason

Hamaker ME, et al. Time to Stop Saying Geriatric Assessment Is Too Time Consuming. J Clin Oncol. 2017.1;35(25):2871-2874.

### Barriers in India

- Time restraints
- Lack of clinical staff
- Lack of awareness
- Polypharmacy
- Poor functional status

# Are all these tools appropriate in India?

- Older adult definition immigrants/age adjustment for tools
- Saas bahu for IADL
- Telephone use
- Relocation for treatment
- Illiteracy
- Body habitus
- thin, low BMI
- Weight loss quantification difficult
- Vegetarianism

Noronha V, et al. Ethnocultural inequity in the geriatric assessment. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2020;3:808-13

# Are the tools appropriate in India?

- Mental health issues psychiatric taboos
- Social issues and joint families
- Functional mobility
- Life expectancy -

# Are all the tools appropriate in India?

- Changing family patterns of joint vs nuclear family
- Maids/nursing aides for care of older adults
- Smart phone use in older adults
- Language diversity in India
- Seasons appropriateness of 'weather' related questions

Mehrotra R, Nethan ST, Yadav K. Socio-cultural tailoring of the comprehensive geriatric assessment tool for low- and middle-income countries: The need of the hour. Cancer Res Stat Treat 2021;4:370-3

# Other Indian studies

- Study from AIIMS
- Older adult patients with cancer
- 75% had functional impairment
- 35% had malnutrition
- 64% had more than one co-morbidity

Banerjee J, et al. Implementing and validating a care protocol for older adults with cancer in resource limited settings with a newly developed screening tool. J Geriatr Oncol. 2021 Jan;12(1):139-145.

SCreening of the Older PErson with Cancer", Version1 (SCOPE-C)

- 13 questions with sub-parts containing 35 items and spanning over eight domains
- Response range of 0–4 ratings
- Time for completion of this tool by the physician/clinician was reduced to average 25 min
- Individual Scores correlated with survival status at 24 weeks
- Cutoff score of 64 had a 72.2% sensitivity and 77.3% specificity for better prognosis



Palliative care will commence with the diagnosis of malignancy together with definitive/modified treatment.

### Domains assessed

- Functionality
- Self-care
- Depression
- Cognition
- Nutrition
- Comorbidites
- Geriatric syndrome
- Polypharmacy
- QOL, social support

Domains and items	ii. Do you have any trouble doing the following
1.Functionality	• Taking a long walk(1 km)
i. Do you have difficulty in carrying out your day to day activities as stated below?	• Taking a short walk(500 m)
• Taking food	• Climbing stairs
• Dressing	• Bending/kneeling /stooping
	iii. Which of the following best describes your present functional status?
• Toileting/Bathing	<ul> <li>Able to manage day to day activities,</li> </ul>
• Cooking/normal housework	<ul> <li>Able to manage day to day activities with help</li> </ul>
· Cooking/ normal nousework	• Totally dependent and bed bound.
• Shopping for day to day needs	2.Depression
	• Have you been feeling depressed /low most of the time?
• Using telephone	• Having little interest in doing things that you used to enjoy before?
• Managing Finances	• Have negative feelings most of the time?
• Travelling	• Do you feel fatigued in your day to day activities?
Taking your medicines	• Do you feel helpless?
	• How much effect does sleep irregularities have on your day to day life?

3.Cognition
• Is the patient oriented to time and place?
• Three object recall
• Drawing geometric shapes
• Three object recall
• Simple calculation question
4.Nutrition
• How do you rate your appetite?
• Have you lost or gained weight in the last three months?
• Anthropometry-BMI
5.Co-morbidity
• Do you suffer from any disease other than your present ailment? If yes, how much does it interfere with your day to day activities?
6.Geriatric syndrome
• Do you suffer from any geriatric syndrome? If yes, how much does it interfere with your day to day activities?
7.Poly-pharmacy
• On an average how many medicines do you take?

8.Social support/QOL

• In general, how would you rate your health?

• Does your present health condition put some strain on your financial status?

• Does your health condition permit you to relax and follow some activity you like?

• Can you depend on your family/friends/relatives for help and support at times of crisis?

### Barriers to GA

ology nurse

Abbreviations: GA, geriatric assessment; EHR, electronic health record.

Webb T, et al. Addressing the Needs of Older Adults With Cancer in Low- and Middle-Income Settings. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2022 Apr;42:1-10.

## Future perspectives

- Inclusion in DM medical oncology curriculum
- Geriatric oncology 'long case'
- Development of more clinics for older adults with cancer
- More Indian trials –multicenter
- Increase awareness among practicing oncologists
- Policy changes and institutional support for research
- Development of an Indian Short Elderly Oncological Assessment Tool
- Support groups formation
- Biomarker/basic translational research on geriatric patients
- Inclusion of geriatric patients in specific clinical trials

### Future perspectives

- Online educational opportunities in geriatric oncology
- Development and implementation of geriatric oncology hubs to "train-the-trainer" models
- Increase technology assisted GA

Webb T, et al. Addressing the Needs of Older Adults With Cancer in Low- and Middle-Income Settings. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2022 Apr;42:1-10.

# Solutions – Time barrier

- Protocolised organizational structure delegate to non-oncologists
- Geriatrician
- Patient self-report
- Workforce of trained social workers/Physician assistants/nurses/allied practitioners
- GA guided interventions by referring to allied health specialties

McKenzie GAG, et al. Implementation of geriatric assessment in oncology settings: A systematic realist review. J Geriatr Oncol. 2021;12(1):22-33.

# Establishing GA as a part of cancer care

- Integrate with tumor board processes
- Champions
- Clinical staff education
- Patient education

disciplinary team, IT = information technology.

	Leveraging non- specialists	<ul> <li>Viewing GA as a complex intervention within cancer multi-disciplinary teams</li> <li>Making efficient use of geriatricians</li> <li>Promoting patients to self-report GA wherever possible</li> <li>Assessing available workforce, training and professional development opportunities</li> <li>Using assessment to guide downstream care processes</li> <li>Empowering those undertaking GA with autonomy to implement care processes</li> </ul>
Patient, family and carers	Creating favourable health economics	<ul> <li>Sustaining geriatric oncology programmes wherever possible</li> <li>Generating top-down incentives to increase uptake of GA in oncology</li> <li>Generating data for quality improvement, research and clinical practice</li> <li>Leveraging information technology</li> </ul>
Cancer MDT	Establishing the use of geriatric assessment	<ul> <li>Utilising principles from cancer-specific GA</li> <li>Adopting a policy for use of GA within cancer MDTs: reactive or proactive</li> <li>Appropriate use of screening tools (e.g. G8)</li> <li>Summarising data from GA using accessible language for non-geriatricians</li> <li>Promoting a local champion to assist with consensus between disciplines</li> <li>Brief clinical staff and patient educational interventions</li> <li>Utilising a whole system approach</li> </ul>
and care network	Managing limited resources	<ul> <li>Undertaking a GA at an appropriate time (preferably as early as possible)</li> <li>Utilising data from primary care and ensuring longitudinal follow-up</li> <li>Relaxation of national policies for older adults to allow time for prehabilitation</li> <li>Embedding GA into routine oncology practice</li> <li>Reducing reliance on outpatient appointments for patient care</li> <li>Utilising existing geriatrician-led resources to promote cross-fertilisation</li> </ul>

#### Fig. 4

Conceptual framework for implementing geriatric assessment in oncology practice.

Summary of the key concepts for implementation of geriatric assessment in oncology practuce. Abbreviations:

### Conclusions

- GA is feasible in Indian settings
- Tools to be adapted
- Choose the tool well


Loh KP, et al. What Every Oncologist Should Know About Geriatric Assessment for Older Patients With Cancer: Young International Society of Geriatric Oncology Position Paper. J Oncol Pract. 2018;14(2):85-94.

## Thank you all for patient listening!

## Table 3. Proposed Approaches for the Implementation of Geriatric Assessment and/or Geriatric Screening Tools in a Routine Oncology Setting

## Proposed Approach

Geriatric assessment in all patients age 70 years and older are considered for any cancer treatment and younger patients with age-related health concerns in high-resource settings Self-administered portion\* Functional evaluation—for example, ADL and IADL Depression—for example, GDS-5 Medications are generally evaluated at clinic visits; for older individuals, greater emphasis is needed to minimize potential drug-drug interactions and deprescribe unnecessary medications Comorbidity is often assessed at clinic visits, but oncologists may consider using a validated comorbidity index to quantify comorbidity Nutritional evaluation—for example, weight loss and MNA Social support; living situation and need for additional home support for older individuals—a social worker or other allied health care professional will often inquire about these circumstances Health care professional portion† Cognitive screening—for example, Mini-Cog or MMSE Physical performance—for example, TUG Chemotherapy toxicity risk calculation—for example, CARG or CRASH toxicity scores

Geriatric screening tool (one of the following) if at risk, followed by geriatric assessment described above—this may spare the efforts of full geriatric assessment in 20%-40% of patients

Geriatric 8 Vulnerable Elders Survey-13 Triage Risk Screening Tool Groningen Frailty Index Senior Adult Oncology Program 2 Abbreviated Geriatric Assessment

Fried frailty criteria

Low-resource setting or if time is limited (one or more of the following):

One of the geriatric screening tools described above and chemotherapy toxicity risk calculation—for example, CARG or CRASH toxicity scores Referral to geriatrician if screened positive for impairment on geriatric screening tools

If a geriatrician is not available, consider other tests on the basis of clinical impression and health areas at risk—for example, as indicated by screening tool; may consider ADL, IADL, and Mini-Cog in addition to the geriatric screening tool

Abbreviations: ADL, activity of daily living; CARG, Cancer and Aging Research Group; CRASH, Chemotherapy Risk Assessment Scale for High-Age Patients; GDS-5, Geriatric Depression Scale-5; IADL, instrumental activity of daily living; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MNA, Mini-Nutritional Assessment; TUG, Timed Get Up and Go.

Wildiers H, Heeren P, Puts M, Topinkova E, Janssen-Heijnen ML, Extermann M, Falandry C, Artz A, Brain E, Colloca G, Flamaing J, Karnakis T, Kenis C, Audisio RA, Mohile S, Repetto L, Van Leeuwen B, Milisen K, Hurria A. International Society of Geriatric Oncology consensus on geriatric assessment in older patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2014 Aug 20;32(24):2595-603.